

UNITED STAT() EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTY. DOCKET NO.
08/447,717	Ø5/23/95	STEWART	R	10915R
				EXAMINER
		24M1/1113	•	
THOMASON AND MOSER			LIIII.M	
THE GALLER	IA		ART UN	T PAPER NUMBER
2-40 BRIDG	E AVENUE			0
PO BOX 816	2 7 .	•	2415	8
RED BANK N	J 07701		2710	
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/96	

	This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS					
	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY					
ħ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on August 19, 1996					
Ų	This action is FINAL.	•				
]	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	s Is closed in				
hic e a	hortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire THEE month(s), o chever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for responapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provided).	nse will cause				
lsp	position of Claims					
Ą	Claim(s) 1-16, 18-20, 22-27 and 27-32 is/are per Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdra	nding in the application.				
	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
ĺ	Claim(s) 1-16, 18-10, 19-15 and 17-32	is/are rejected.				
		is/are objected to.				
]	The drawing(s) filed on					
rlo	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	-				
	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been					
	received.					
	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
	received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*(Certified copies not received:	·				
].	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
lta	achment(s)					
]	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892					
]	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).					
1	Interview Summary, PTO-413					
]	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
]	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
	SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	~, ^				
m.	. 208 (Rev 0.000)	+ IIS GPO- 1008-404-408/				

Serial Number: 08/447,717

Art Unit: 2415

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Defective Reissue Oath/Declaration

1. The reissue oath or declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to particularly specify the errors and/or how the errors relied upon arose or occurred as required under 37 CFR 1.175(a)(5). Included are inadvertent errors in conduct, i.e., actions taken by the applicant, the attorney or others, before the original patent issued, which are alleged to be the cause of the actual errors in the patent. This includes how and when the errors in conduct arose or occurred, as well as how and when these errors were discovered. Applicant's attention is directed to Hewlett-Packard v. Bausch & Lomb, 11 USPQ2d 1750, 1758 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

The previous attorney should come in with a statement corroborating the allegation that the error arose due to his lack of understanding the scope of the invention as stated by the applicant, since the former attorney is the one that most familiar with the circumstances (see applicant's declaration, page 2, 2nd paragraph).

2. The applicant is required to submit <u>corroborating</u>

<u>affidavit(s)</u> from the former United States attorney to support

the statement that the error arose because applicant and/or

Serial Number: 08/447,717

Art Unit: 2415

applicant's attorney failed to fully appreciate the scope of the invention as per 37 CFR 1.175(b).

Rejection, Defective Reissue Oath

3. Claims 1-16, 18-20, 22-25 and 27-32 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. § 251. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.175.

Conclusion .

4. Applicant's arguments filed August 19, 1996 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

The applicant is required to submit <u>corroborating</u>

<u>affidavit(s)</u> from the former United States attorney to support

the statement that the error arose because applicant and/or

applicant's attorney failed to fully appreciate the scope of the invention as per 37 CFR 1.175(b).

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE

Serial Number: 08/447,717

Art Unit: 2415

MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Matthew Luu at telephone number (703) 305-4850.

M. Luu: W.L.

November 5, 1996

MARK R. POWELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 2415